



The Role of Metadata in Effective Digital Records Management

Ailakhu, Ugonna Vivian

vailakhu@noun.edu.ng

Department of Library and Information Science

Faculty of Education

National Open University of Nigeria, Abuja.

Abstract

In the digital age, metadata is essential for organizing, preserving, and accessing digital records. Metadata provides the foundational structure that enhances the discoverability and usability of digital collections by offering critical context about the records. This paper explores various types of metadata such as structural, descriptive, and administrative and their roles in records management. Key functions of metadata include improving information retrieval, ensuring record authenticity, and supporting long-term digital preservation through standards like the Dublin Core and FAIR principles. Despite its benefits, metadata management presents challenges, including inconsistent standards, the need for specialized user training, and limitations in current metadata creation tools. The paper includes case analyses, demonstrating metadata's utility in diverse contexts such as healthcare, genetic data archiving, social media, and knowledge graph construction. These cases reveal how effective metadata practices foster interoperability and enrich user experience, ensuring digital records' continued accessibility and integrity. Addressing the current challenges will enable institutions to advance their metadata practices, ultimately strengthening the preservation and accessibility of digital resources.

Keywords: Metadata, Digital records management, Discoverability, Digital preservation, Metadata standards, Interoperability

Introduction

In the digital age, metadata plays a crucial role in the management of records, serving as the backbone for organizing, preserving, and retrieving information. Metadata, often described as "data about data," provides essential context and structure that enhance the discoverability and usability of digital records (Lim and Liew, 2011; Mayernik, 2011). Effective records management, which encompasses the systematic control of records throughout their lifecycle, relies heavily on high-quality metadata to ensure that records are accurately described, easily accessible, and preserved for future use (Masenya, 2021; Riley, 2023). The



integration of robust metadata frameworks is vital for institutions aiming to maintain the integrity and authenticity of their digital collections (Sugimoto, 2014; Wijesundara and Sugimoto, 2018).

The rationale for this paper is to highlight the critical role metadata plays in organizing, preserving, and ensuring the accessibility of digital records such as enhancing search and retrieval of digital records, ensuring authenticity and integrity, proper metadata management helps verify the authenticity, reliability, and integrity of records by capturing details like authorship, version history, and modification timestamps. Facilitating compliance and legal requirements; supporting long-term preservation; improving workflow and enhancing interoperability and integration.

Concept of Metadata

Metadata is a data providing information about a single or more aspects of the data. It is used to summarise basic information about data that can make tracking and working easier with specific data. It provides context with details such as the source, type, owner and relationships to other data sets. Metadata acts a surrogate, representation of the content, context, structure, quality, province, condition and other characteristics of document for the purpose of representing the document to a potential user for discovery, evaluation, and fitness for use, access, transfer, and citation (Dashrath, 2014).

According to Dashrath, (2014), the concept of metadata serves various purposes beyond its primary function. One of its key roles is organizing informational resources, ensuring that data is systematically arranged for ease of access and retrieval. It also facilitates the organization of resource links through parameters such as addressee and subject, making navigation more efficient. Metadata plays a crucial role in designing metadata frameworks, establishing exchange protocols, and sharing network resources. It aids in classifying metadata results, conducting content analysis, and indexing data, thereby enhancing searchability and usability. Furthermore, metadata assists in facilitating data analysis pathways, preserving and conserving digital data, and tracking records of informational resources.

Metadata is determines access levels and defines legal conditions related to data usage. It helps in recognizing data structures and enables data interaction capabilities, ensuring seamless integration and interoperability across platforms. Metadata also supports the



assessment of informational resources, aids in finding resources through related subjects, and enables the placement of similar resources side by side while distinguishing dissimilar ones. It suggests the location of information and provides details that may impact data users, such as legal constraints or file sizes. It also traces data history, including the original source and subsequent modifications. Information about the owner or creator of a source, including contact details like email addresses, can be identified through metadata.

Additionally, metadata establishes relationships between sources by linking previous and subsequent copies of a resource. It assists in determining the appropriate form and framework for recalling data and offers indexing possibilities for vast amounts of network information without imposing excessive demands on bandwidth. These functions make metadata an essential component in data organization, retrieval, and management. Metadata consists of three key features: content, context, and structure. Content refers to what the record contains or is about and is considered intrinsic to the information object. Context provides details about the circumstances surrounding the creation of the record, including who created it, why, where, and how. Unlike content, context is extrinsic to the information object. Lastly, structure pertains to the formal relationships within or between individual information objects. Depending on the nature of the record, structure can be either intrinsic or extrinsic.

Metadata can be categorized into three primary types: structural, descriptive, and administrative. Structural metadata outlines the organization of data, detailing how different components of a digital object relate to one another (Zavalina et al., 2015; Park & Tosaka, 2010). It deals with information necessary to record the internal structure of the record so it can be rendered to the user in a sensible and acceptable format. Structural metadata is necessary because a record may often be duplicated based on its use. For example, (e.g. file relationships, digital repositories). Descriptive metadata provides information that assist users discover and identify resources, such as titles, authors, and keywords (Yang, 2016; Yosakonkun et al., 2021). It provides the source purpose such as creator of the record, date the record was created or received. e.g. course materials, student records, research data.

Administrative metadata, on the other hand, consists of essential information required for managing resources, including details such as creation dates, rights information, and preservation guidelines (Rogers, 2015; Groenewald & Breytenbach, 2011). This type of metadata facilitates the effective management of resources by providing critical insights into when and how records were created, received, and maintained. It also includes elements such



as retention policies and access control mechanisms, ensuring that records are appropriately handled throughout their lifecycle.

Furthermore, metadata follows various standardized frameworks to ensure consistency and interoperability across different systems. Some of the commonly used metadata standards include the Australian Government Locator Service (AGLS) and the Australia New Zealand Information Council (ANZLIS), both of which are designed to enhance resource discovery and accessibility. The Consortium for the Computer Interchange of Museum Information (CIMI) supports metadata standards for museum collections, while Dublin Core (DC) is a widely adopted standard for describing digital resources. For archival records, the Encoded Archival Description (EAD) provides a structured format for representing archival collections, whereas the Education Network Australia (ENDA) focuses on educational metadata. Government records are often managed using the Government Information Locator Service (GILS), which facilitates public access to official information.

Additionally, metadata standards such as the Text Encoding Initiative (TEI) support the encoding of textual materials, while the Visual Resource Association (VRA) standard is used for describing visual and multimedia resources. The Metadata Encoding and Transmission Standard (METS) is widely applied for encoding metadata in digital libraries, and the Metadata Object Description Schema (MODS) provides a flexible framework for bibliographic records. These standards play a crucial role in ensuring metadata remains structured, interoperable, and effective in organizing and managing digital and physical records across different domains. There are several reasons for these metadata standards such as to: build on common and shared efforts; minimise duplication of efforts; facilitate sharing and exchange of information between organizations/ Libraries; promote collaboration; reduce web fragmentation and costs.

Digital Records Management

Digital records management (DRM) refers to the systematic control of electronic records throughout their entire lifecycle from creation and receipt to distribution, maintenance, and eventual disposition. This process ensures that digital records are accurately captured, organized, secured, and retrievable, thereby supporting organizational accountability and operational efficiency (AIIM, 2025). One of the primary benefits of DRM is the enhancement of operational efficiency. By digitizing records, organizations can significantly reduce the



time and resources required to store, retrieve, and manage information. This streamlined access facilitates informed decision-making and improves overall productivity.

Moreover, DRM plays a crucial role in ensuring regulatory compliance. Many industries are subject to stringent regulations regarding data retention, privacy, and security. Implementing a robust DRM system helps organizations adhere to these legal requirements, thereby mitigating the risk of non-compliance penalties (DocuWare, 2024). In addition to compliance, DRM enhances data security and disaster recovery capabilities. Electronic records can be encrypted, access-controlled, and backed up to secure locations, protecting them from unauthorized access, loss, or damage. In the event of a disaster, having digital backups ensures business continuity and the preservation of critical information.

The Role of Metadata in Digital Records Management

Metadata plays a crucial role in digital records management by ensuring efficient organization, retrieval, and preservation of digital assets. It provides essential contextual information that enhances data usability, security, and long-term accessibility (Gilliland, 2016). Without metadata, digital records would lack the necessary descriptive and administrative details needed for effective management. Metadata serves several key functions, including enhancing organization and retrieval, supporting digital preservation, ensuring compliance and security, facilitating interoperability and data exchange, and enabling efficient resource management.

One of the primary roles of metadata in digital records management is to facilitate the organization and retrieval of digital records. By providing structured descriptions such as author names, creation dates, and file formats, metadata enables users to search, filter, and locate records efficiently (Baca, 2018). This structured approach ensures that digital content is categorized and managed systematically, making it easier to access and use. Standardized metadata frameworks, such as Dublin Core, help institutions maintain consistency in their records management practices and improve accessibility (International Organization for Standardization [ISO], 2016).

In addition to organization and retrieval, metadata is essential for digital preservation. Preservation metadata records technical details, such as file formats, encryption methods, and software dependencies, ensuring that digital records remain accessible over time (Hedstrom, 2020). Without proper metadata, digital records may become unreadable due to technological



obsolescence or loss of contextual information. By documenting necessary technical specifications, metadata helps organizations maintain the integrity and usability of digital assets in the long term.

Metadata plays a fundamental role in ensuring the longevity and authenticity of digital records, making it a critical component of digital preservation. Beyond facilitating the management of digital records, metadata helps maintain their integrity and usability over time. One of its primary functions in long-term preservation is providing essential contextual information about digital objects, including details on their provenance, history, and any modifications throughout their lifecycle. This metadata is crucial for verifying the authenticity of records and ensuring they can be accurately interpreted in the future (Tang & Zhang, 2019; Gärtner & Lavoie, 2013; Alemneh & Hastings, 2010). Without metadata, digital records would lack the necessary documentation to support their credibility, making it difficult for future users to ascertain their reliability and original context.

Preservation metadata, as defined by the PREMIS (Preservation Metadata: Implementation Strategies) standard, is specifically designed to support the long-term accessibility and integrity of digital records. This form of metadata includes critical information about the creation, format, and any transformations that digital records undergo over time (Gärtner & Lavoie, 2013; Alemneh & Hastings, 2010). By maintaining detailed records of preservation actions, organizations can ensure that digital assets remain both accessible and meaningful despite changes in technology (Anyaoaku *et al.*, 2019). The inclusion of provenance metadata is particularly vital, as it helps establish a chain of custody, ensuring that the history of a record's management is transparent and that its authenticity can be verified (Tang & Zhang, 2019; Alemneh & Hastings, 2010). This approach fosters trust in digital records, particularly in fields where data integrity is paramount, such as legal documentation, government archives, and scientific research.

Beyond ensuring authenticity, metadata also enhances the interoperability of digital preservation systems, allowing for seamless integration and exchange of records across various platforms and institutions. Standards such as the Open Archival Information System (OAIS) and the implementation of linked data principles enable organizations to share and manage metadata more effectively, facilitating collaborative digital preservation efforts (Li & Sugimoto, 2018; Subotic *et al.*, 2012). By adhering to these standards, organizations can ensure that their digital records remain discoverable and usable, even as technologies evolve



(Anyaoku *et al.*, 2019; Li & Sugimoto, 2018). Metadata-driven interoperability also strengthens digital preservation by allowing different preservation frameworks to communicate, making it easier to maintain digital records across diverse technological environments.

In addition to supporting authenticity and interoperability, metadata plays a crucial role in the technical aspects of long-term preservation. Preservation metadata can include details about storage conditions, file formats, and necessary migration or transformation strategies to prevent digital obsolescence (Rabinovici-Cohen *et al.*, 2011). As technology continues to advance, older file formats and storage media may become obsolete, requiring metadata-driven strategies to ensure continued accessibility. A proactive approach to metadata management helps mitigate these risks, ensuring that digital records remain readable and interpretable long into the future (Tripathi, 2018; Bralić *et al.*, 2020). By implementing robust metadata practices and adhering to established preservation standards, organizations can enhance their digital preservation strategies, ensuring that valuable records are not only preserved but also remain accessible for future generations.

Metadata also plays a vital role in regulatory compliance and information security. Many organizations, particularly in sectors such as healthcare and education, must adhere to strict legal requirements regarding data access, retention, and protection (Shepherd & Yeo, 2021). Metadata helps enforce these regulations by enabling access controls, tracking modifications to digital records, and ensuring compliance with frameworks such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). This ensures that sensitive data is handled securely and in accordance with legal mandates.

Furthermore, metadata enhances interoperability by allowing different systems to exchange and interpret records consistently. Standardized metadata structures enable seamless data sharing across various digital platforms, making it particularly beneficial for digital libraries, archives, and research institutions (National Information Standards Organization [NISO], 2019). By maintaining the original structure and meaning of digital records, metadata ensures that information remains comprehensible and usable across different technological environments.

Finally, metadata supports efficient resource management by automating classification and indexing processes. Modern digital repositories use metadata-driven artificial intelligence



(AI) tools to tag and categorize records, reducing manual effort and improving accuracy (Pomerantz, 2022). This automation streamlines workflow efficiency, making it easier for organizations to manage large volumes of digital records while maintaining accuracy and consistency.

Functions of metadata in digital records management

Metadata serves multiple functions in digital records management, making it an essential component in the organization, accessibility, and preservation of digital assets. One of its primary functions is enhancing the discoverability of records by facilitating efficient search and retrieval processes (Yang, 2016; Hajdarpašić, 2023). Studies have demonstrated that specific metadata elements, such as titles and descriptions, significantly increase the likelihood of digital collections being found through internet search engines, improving access to valuable information (Yang, 2016). By structuring metadata effectively, organizations can ensure that users can quickly locate and retrieve records, optimizing operational efficiency and resource utilization.

In addition to discoverability, metadata plays a crucial role in the preservation of digital records by documenting their provenance and changes over time. This function is essential for maintaining the authenticity and integrity of records, as it provides a traceable history of modifications and ensures that records remain reliable for future reference (Rogers, 2015; Sugimoto, 2014). Digital preservation relies on metadata to capture details such as file formats, storage conditions, and version histories, which help mitigate the risks of data loss and technological obsolescence. Without proper metadata, organizations may struggle to maintain the longevity of their digital records, leading to potential loss of critical information.

Notably, metadata interoperability is vital for enabling the seamless sharing and reuse of records across different systems and platforms. This ensures that metadata remains meaningful outside its original context, facilitating collaboration among institutions and improving the efficiency of digital records management (Lim & Liew, 2011; Park & Tosaka, 2010). The use of standardized metadata frameworks, such as Dublin Core, supports consistency in metadata application and enhances interoperability, allowing institutions to integrate and exchange information more effectively (Masenya, 2021). This standardization not only contributes to the accessibility of digital records but also promotes sustainable archival practices across various sectors.



Metadata plays a significant role in the management of digital archives, particularly in cultural heritage institutions. The adoption of metadata standards and schemas has been instrumental in ensuring the quality and consistency of metadata across diverse collections (Lim & Liew, 2011). By applying structured metadata frameworks, institutions can improve resource organization, support long-term preservation, and foster collaboration through shared digital repositories (Mayernik, 2011; Wijesundara & Sugimoto, 2018). Effective digital records management is heavily dependent on the quality and structure of metadata. By understanding its various functions, organizations can enhance their records management strategies, ensuring that digital resources remain preserved, accessible, and useful for future generations.

Enhancing Discoverability and Access through Metadata

Metadata significantly enhances the discoverability and accessibility of digital records, serving as a critical tool for effective information retrieval in digital environments. One of the primary ways metadata improves searchability is through the use of standardized metadata elements that enhance the indexing and retrieval processes. For instance, metadata schemas such as Dublin Core provide a structured framework that allows for the consistent description of resources, making it easier for search engines and digital repositories to index and retrieve relevant information Wu et al., (2023). The implementation of metadata standards ensures that digital objects are described using common terms and formats, which facilitates their discoverability across various platforms and systems (Seeman & Dean, 2019). Indeed, the integration of linked data principles into metadata practices has been shown to create a global knowledge space that enhances the visibility of digital collections (Gaitanou et al., 2022). By utilizing linked data, libraries and archives can interconnect their resources, allowing users to navigate through related information seamlessly. This interconnectedness not only improves the user experience but also increases the likelihood of discovering relevant materials that may not have been easily accessible through traditional search methods (Gaitanou *et al.*, 2022).

Additionally, the use of metadata crosswalks methods that enable the translation of metadata from one schema to another further enhances interoperability and discoverability by allowing data from different sources to be integrated and accessed collectively (Chen, 2015).

The role of metadata standards in ensuring interoperability cannot be overstated. Standards such as the Encoded Archival Description (EAD) and the Encoded Archival Context (EAC) provide guidelines for the creation of machine-readable metadata that facilitates the



management and discoverability of archival resources (Seeman & Dean, 2019). These standards ensure that metadata is not only consistent but also compatible across various systems, allowing for the aggregation of records from different repositories (Rolan, 2017). Moreover, the adoption of the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable) principles in metadata practices emphasizes the importance of creating metadata that is not only standardized but also designed to enhance the usability and accessibility of data across diverse platforms (Zieliński, 2023). Metadata serves as a vital component in enhancing the discoverability and access of digital records. By employing standardized metadata schemas and embracing linked data principles, organizations can significantly improve the searchability of their collections. Subsequently, the establishment of interoperability through metadata standards allows for a more cohesive and integrated approach to managing digital records, ultimately benefiting users seeking access to information.

According to Dashrath (2014), metadata serves multiple functions beyond its primary role in data management. It is essential for organizing informational resources and structuring resource links based on criteria such as addressee and subject. Metadata also facilitates the design of metadata frameworks, establishes exchange protocols, and enables the sharing of network resources, ensuring efficient data management across platforms. In addition, metadata is crucial for classifying metadata results, conducting content analysis, and indexing data, making information retrieval more efficient. It supports the facilitation of data analysis pathways, the preservation and conservation of digital data, and the tracking of information resource records, thereby maintaining data integrity and accessibility.

In enhancing discoverability and accessibility of digital records, metadata plays a significant role in regulating access levels and defining legal conditions concerning data use. It aids in recognizing data structures, enhancing data interaction capabilities, and assessing informational resources to improve usability. It also helps users locate relevant resources through subject-based searches, align similar resources for comparison, and distinguish between dissimilar ones. Metadata further suggests information locations and provides critical details that may impact data users, such as legal restrictions or file sizes. It tracks the history of data by recording its main source and subsequent modifications. Additionally, it offers information about the owner or creator of a resource, including contact details such as email addresses, to facilitate communication and reference (Dashrath, 2014).



Furthermore, metadata establishes relationships between different sources by linking previous and subsequent versions of a document or dataset. It aids in determining the appropriate format and structure for recalling data and supports indexing large amounts of networked information efficiently, minimizing the demand on network bandwidth. These functions highlight the essential role of metadata in organizing, managing, and optimizing data for various applications.

Challenges in implementing effective metadata practices in digital records management

Implementing effective metadata practices in digital records management presents several challenges, particularly concerning inconsistent metadata standards and the need for user training. One of the primary issues is the lack of uniformity in metadata standards across different platforms and disciplines. This inconsistency can lead to difficulties in data sharing and interoperability, as various systems may utilize different schemas and terminologies to describe similar data (Shaw *et al.*, 2020; Merkys *et al.*, 2017). For instance, while some research fields may adopt specific metadata standards like the ISA-JSON for life sciences, others may rely on entirely different frameworks, resulting in fragmented metadata landscapes (Johnson *et al.*, 2021). This fragmentation complicates the integration of data from multiple sources, hindering the ability to perform comprehensive analyses and limiting the potential for data reuse (Shaw *et al.*, 2020; Merkys *et al.*, 2017).

The effective use of metadata standards often requires specialized knowledge and training. Many users may not be adequately trained in the nuances of metadata creation and management, leading to the generation of poor-quality metadata that fails to meet established standards (Konstantinidis *et al.*, 2010). This lack of training can result in inconsistencies in metadata application, further exacerbating the challenges of interoperability and discoverability. As noted by Konstantinidis *et al.* (2010), the economics of metadata creation and the continuous evolution of standards necessitate user-friendly tools that can simplify the metadata creation process. Without such tools and adequate training, organizations may struggle to maintain high-quality metadata, which is essential for effective data management and preservation.

Another significant challenge lies in the tools available for metadata creation and automation. While there are numerous metadata management tools such as Alation, Collibra, Oracle, Dataedo, Adaptive metadata manager designed to facilitate the creation and maintenance of



metadata, many of these tools may not fully support the automation of metadata processes (Ambati & Irwin, 2016). For instance, while some systems can automate the tracking of provenance information, they may still require manual input for certain metadata elements, leading to potential errors and inconsistencies (Merkys *et al.*, 2017). The integration of automated metadata services, such as those proposed by Auto Plug, can help alleviate some of these issues by reducing the manual workload and improving the accuracy of metadata (Ambati & Irwin, 2016).

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these tools often depends on their design and usability, which can vary significantly across different platforms (Khoo *et al.*, 2012). The challenges of implementing effective metadata practices are multifaceted, involving inconsistent standards, the need for user training, and the limitations of existing metadata creation tools. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort to standardize metadata practices, enhance user training programs, and develop more robust and user-friendly metadata management tools. By overcoming these obstacles, organizations can improve the quality and interoperability of their metadata, ultimately enhancing the discoverability and usability of their digital records.

Way Forward

Enhancing the implementation of metadata in digital records management is essential for ensuring the accessibility, authenticity, and longevity of digital assets. Recent developments and studies suggest several strategies to improve metadata practices. Sağlık,(2024) study examined how the National Digital Stewardship Alliance (NDSA) Levels of Preservation framework can be applied to assess and enhance the trustworthiness of digital records. The role of metadata in ensuring authenticity and reliability, suggesting that adherence to NDSA guidelines can improve metadata practices in digital records management. In addition, Julahi, Jamaludin, Chik and Johare, (2024), in their study investigated the impact of cloud computing on electronic records and archives management and recommended developing comprehensive metadata schemas tailored to cloud-based systems to enhance digital records management. Motlhasedi (2024) explored metadata management practices and evaluates the infrastructure for digital records keeping at the Botswana National Archives. The findings emphasize the need for standardized metadata frameworks and robust digital infrastructure to improve records management practices.



These studies collectively suggest that adopting standardized frameworks, leveraging advanced technologies, and implementing dedicated management strategies are crucial for improving metadata practices in digital records management.

Case Studies

Metadata plays a crucial role in the organization, discoverability, and preservation of digital records across various institutions. This section highlights several case studies that exemplify effective metadata application in different contexts, showcasing how metadata standards and practices enhance data management and accessibility. One notable example is the application of metadata in the healthcare sector, particularly through the Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) standard. Alper *et al.* (2021) discussed how initiatives like the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's Care Transformation Support (ACTS) leverage metadata to facilitate the sharing of computable biomedical knowledge (CBK). By specifying and utilizing CBK metadata, these initiatives enhance interoperability and ensure that critical health data is accessible and usable across different platforms, ultimately improving patient care and research outcomes.

In the realm of genetic and genomic data, Leigh emphasizes the importance of public archiving not only for genetic data but also for associated metadata, such as species names and sampling coordinates (Leigh, 2023). This practice, which has evolved since the 1980s, underscores the necessity of comprehensive metadata to ensure that genetic data remains discoverable and usable for future research. Institutions that adhere to these practices contribute to a more robust framework for data sharing and collaboration in the scientific community. Seeman and Dean (2019) highlighted the significance of archival metadata standards, such as the Encoded Archival Description (EAD) and Encoded Archival Context (EAC-CPF), in facilitating the management and discoverability of archival resources.

These standards enable libraries and archival institutions to create machine-readable descriptions that enhance the accessibility of their collections, allowing users to effectively search and retrieve materials. The implementation of such standards is crucial for maintaining the integrity and usability of archival records. Niu's research on the National Digital Archive of Datasets (NDAD) illustrates how metadata standards can be adapted for specific contexts, such as dataset descriptions in social sciences (Niu, 2016). By extending existing standards like ISAD (G), institutions can create tailored metadata frameworks that better serve the unique needs of different datasets, thereby improving their organization and accessibility.



The development of knowledge graphs, as demonstrated by Kim in the context of the 1997 Korean financial crisis, showcases another innovative application of metadata (Kim, 2023). By interlinking diverse digital records through a knowledge graph, this approach enhances the contextual representation of archival materials, allowing users to navigate complex relationships between entities.

Such methodologies highlight the potential of metadata to enrich the user experience and facilitate deeper insights into historical events. In the field of social media archiving, Lieber *et al.* presented the BESOCIAL project, which utilizes metadata standards like Encoded Archival Description (EAD) to preserve harvested social media collections (Lieber *et al.*, 2021). This project exemplifies how metadata can be effectively employed to document and manage ephemeral digital content, ensuring that valuable social media interactions are archived for future analysis and research. Lastly, the Collaborative Metadata Repository (CoMetaR) project demonstrates the importance of usability in metadata management tools (Stöhr *et al.*, 2021). By evaluating the usability of their web application, the developers aim to enhance the experience of information professionals working with metadata, ultimately leading to better data management practices across various institutions. These case studies illustrate the diverse applications of metadata across different institutions, highlighting its critical role in enhancing discoverability, interoperability, and preservation of digital records. By adopting standardized metadata practices and innovative approaches, organizations can significantly improve their data management capabilities and contribute to the broader goals of open science and knowledge sharing.

Conclusion

Metadata plays a crucial role in digital records management by ensuring efficient organization, retrieval, preservation, and security of digital assets. It enhances data integrity, supports regulatory compliance, and facilitates interoperability across systems, making it a fundamental component of effective records management. However, despite its benefits, several challenges hinder its implementation. These include inconsistencies in metadata standards, high costs of implementation, lack of skilled personnel, and technological obsolescence, which can affect long-term accessibility and usability. To address these challenges, organizations should adopt standardized metadata frameworks to improve interoperability, invest in automation technologies like artificial intelligence to streamline metadata creation, and provide continuous training for records management professionals. Additionally, leveraging secure and scalable solutions such as blockchain and cloud-based



platforms can enhance metadata reliability and accessibility. By implementing these recommendations, organizations can strengthen their digital records management practices, ensuring that digital assets remain accessible, authentic, and well-preserved for future use.

References

- Alemneh, D. G., & Hastings, S. K. (2010). Exploration of adoption of preservation metadata in cultural heritage institutions: Case of PREMIS. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/meet.14504701187>
- Alper, B. S., Flynn, A. J., Bray, B. E., Conte, M., Eldredge, C., Gold, S., Greenes, R. A., Haug, P. J., Jacoby, K., Koru, G., McClay, J. C., Sainvil, M. L., Sottara, D., Tuttle, M. S., Visweswaran, S., & Yurk, R. (2021). Categorizing metadata to help mobilize computable biomedical knowledge. *Learning Health Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lrh2.10271>
- Anyaku, E. N., Echedom, A. U., & Baro, E. E. (2019). Digital preservation practices in university libraries. *Digital Library Perspectives*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/dlp-10-2017-0041>
- Association for Intelligent Information Management (2025). *Electronic Records Management (ERM)*. <https://www.aiim.org/>
- Baca, M. (2018). *Introduction to Metadata*. Getty Publications.
- Bralić, V., Stančić, H., & Stengård, M. (2020). A blockchain approach to digital archiving: digital signature certification chain preservation. *Records Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rmj-08-2019-0043>
- Chen, Y. N. (2015). A RDF-based approach to metadata crosswalk for semantic interoperability at the data element level. *Library Hi Tech*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lht-08-2014-0078>
- Dashrath, V. (2014). Role of metadata in digital resource management. *International Journal of Digital Library Services*. 4(3), 209-217
- DocuWare. (2024). *Records Management: What it is and why you need It*
- Gaitanou, P., Andreou, I., Sicilia, M., & Garoufallou, E. (2022). *Linked data for libraries: creating*
- Gärtner, R., & Lavoie, B. (2013). *Preservation Metadata (2nd Edition)*.
- Gilliland, A. J. (2016). *Concepts and Methods in Metadata*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers.
- Groenewald, R., & Breytenbach, A. (2011). The use of metadata and preservation methods for continuous access to digital data. *The Electronic Library*.
- Hajdarpašić, L. (2023). The role of metadata in the presentation of türbe in digital environment. *Bosniaca*. <https://doi.org/10.37083/bosn.2023.28.107>
- Hedstrom, M. (2020). *Digital Preservation and Metadata Strategies*. MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640471111125195>



- International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2016). *ISO 15489-1: Information and Documentation – Records Management*.
- Johnson, D., Batista, D., Cochrane, K., Davey, R. P., Etuk, A., González-Beltrán, A., Haug, K., Larralde, M., Lawson, T. N., Minotto, A., Moreno, P., Nainala, V. C., O'Donovan, C., Pireddu, L., Roger, P., Shaw, F., Steinbeck, C., Weber, R., Sansone, S.-A., & Rocca-Serra, P. (2021). ISA API: An open platform for interoperable life science experimental metadata. *Gigascience*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab060>
- Julahi, A. K; Jamaludin, S. N; Chik, N. K & Johare, R. (2024). Digital record-keeping practices: Electronic records and archives in the cloud. *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*. 72 (10), 267-281
- Kaur, A., Usama, M., Wong, D. H.-T., Majid, N. L., & Maarop, N. (2023). Literature review on metadata governance. *Open International Journal of Informatics*. <https://doi.org/10.11113/oiji2023.11n1.235>
- Khoo, M., MacDonald, C. M., & Park, J. (2012). *Erasmus*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2232817.2232923>
- Kim, H. (2023), A knowledge graph of interlinking digital records: The case of the 1997 Korean financial crisis. *The Electronic Library*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/el-05-2023-0131>
- Konstantinidis, S., Bamidis, P. D., Giordano, D., Kaldoudi, E., Pappas, C., & Smothers, V. (2010). *A tool to enhance the sharing of digital health resources* — *The Healthcare LOM Editor*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/cbms.2010.6042634>
- Leigh, D. (2023), *Don't make genetic data disposable: best practices for genetic and genomic data archiving*. <https://doi.org/10.32942/x29025>
- Li, C., & Sugimoto, S. (2018). Provenance description of metadata application profiles for long-term maintenance of metadata schemas. *Journal of Documentation*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jd-03-2017-0042>
- Lieber, S., Assche, D. V., Chambers, S., Messens, F., Geeraert, F., Birkholz, J. M., & Dimou, A. (2021). *BESOCIAL: A sustainable knowledge graph-based workflow for social media archiving*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ssw210045>
- Lim, S., & Liew, C. L. (2011). Metadata quality and interoperability of GLAM digital images. *Aslib Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00012531111164978>
- Masanya, T. M. (2020). Application of modern technologies in the management of records in public libraries. *Journal of the South African Society of Archivists*. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jsasa.v53i1.5>



- Masenya, T. M. (2021). The use of metadata systems for the preservation of digital records in cultural heritage institutions. *Journal of the South African Society of Archivists*. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jsasa.v54i1.5>
- Mayernik, M. S. (2011). Metadata realities for cyber infrastructure: Data authors as metadata creators. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2042653>
- Molina-Granja, F., Rodríguez Rafael, G. D., Cabezas, E., & Lozada, R. (2019). Implementation of the PREDECI model in the prosecution of Chimborazo in Ecuador: A case study evaluation. *International Journal of Electronic Security and Digital Forensics*. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijesdf.2019.10016641>
- Mosweu, O. (2023). Framework for development of digital records preservation in the cloud in Botswana. *Journal of the South African Society of Archivists*. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jsasa.v56i.2>
- Motlhasedi, N. (2024). An assessment study of the National Archives and Records Management System (NARMS) at Botswana National Archives and Records Services (BNARS). *Mousaion: South African Journal of Information Studies*, 20 <https://doi.org/10.25159/2663-659X/14068>
- National Information Standards Organization (NISO). (2019). *Understanding Metadata*. NISO Press.
- Niu, J. (2016). Organisation and description of datasets. *Archives and Manuscripts*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2016.1179585>
- Park, J., & Tosaka, Y. (2010). Metadata quality control in digital repositories and collections: criteria, semantics, and mechanisms. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639374.2010.508711>
- PFU America, Inc. (n.d.). 11 Benefits of electronic records management systems. <https://www.pfu-us.ricoh.com/blog/electronic-records-management>
- Pomerantz, J. (2022). *Metadata: Shaping Knowledge from Antiquity to the Semantic Web*. MIT Press.
- Rabinovici-Cohen, S., Baker, M., Cummings, R., Fineberg, S. A., & Marberg, J. M. (2011). *Towards SIRF*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1987816.1987836>
- Rahman, Md. H. (2020). Review of digital record management needs for academic libraries. *Library Hi Tech News*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lhtn-11-2019-0083>
- Record Nations. (n.d.). The benefits of digital records management
- Riley, J. (2023). *Understanding the Role of Metadata in a Digital Repository*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003216438-5>



- Rogers, C. (2015). Diplomats of born digital documents – considering documentary form in a digital environment. *Records Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rmj-03-2014-0021>
- Rolan, G. (2017). Towards interoperable recordkeeping systems. *Records Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rmj-09-2016-0027>
- Sağlık, Ö. (2024). Trustworthiness of digital records through NDSA levels of digital preservation. *Archives and Records*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23257962.2024.2440745>
- Seeman, D., & Dean, H. R. (2019). Open social knowledge creation and library and archival metadata. *Kula Knowledge Creation Dissemination and Preservation Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.5334/kula.51>
- Shaw, F., Etuk, A., Minotto, A., González-Beltrán, A., Johnson, D., Rocca-Serra, P., Laporte, M., Arnaud, E., Devare, M., Kersey, P. J., Sansone, S.-A., & Davey, R. P. (2020). COPO: A metadata platform for brokering FAIR data in the life sciences. *F1000research*. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23889.1>
- Shepherd, E., & Yeo, G. (2021). *Managing Records: A Handbook of Principles and Practice*. Facet Publishing.
- Stančić, H., & Bralić, V. (2021). Digital archives relying on block chain: overcoming the limitations of data immutability. *Computers*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/computers10080091>
- Stöhr, M. R., Günther, A., & Majeed, R. W. (2021). The collaborative metadata repository (cometar) web app: Quantitative and qualitative usability evaluation. *Jmir Medical Informatics*. <https://doi.org/10.2196/30308>
- Subotic, I., Rosenthaler, L., & Schuldt, H. (2012). *A benchmark for RDF-based metadata management in distributed long-term digital preservation*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icdew.2012.30>
- Sugimoto, S. (2014). Digital archives and metadata as critical infrastructure to keep community memory safe for the future – lessons from Japanese activities. *Archives and Manuscripts*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.893833>
- Tang, C., & Zhang, X. (2019). Associations between the principle of provenance and metadata: practical implementation and cases. *American Journal of Information Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajist.20190301.13>
- Tripathi, S. (2018). Digital preservation: Some underlying issues for long-term preservation. *Library Hi Tech News*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lhtn-09-2017-0067>
- Wijesundara, C., & Sugimoto, S. (2018). Metadata model for organizing digital archives of tangible and intangible cultural heritage, and linking cultural heritage information in digital



space. *Library and Information Science Research E-Journal.*

<https://doi.org/10.32655/libres.2018.2.2>

Wu, M., Richard, S. M., Verhey, C., Castro, L. J., Cecconi, B., & Juty, N. (2023). An analysis of crosswalks from research data schemas to schema.org. *Data Intelligence.*

https://doi.org/10.1162/dint_a_00186

Yang, L. (2016). Metadata effectiveness in internet discovery: An analysis of digital collection metadata elements and internet search engine keywords. *College & Research Libraries.*

<https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.77.1.7>

Yosakonkun, S., Tuamsuk, P., Chansanam, W., & Tuamsuk, K. (2021). Metadata schema for managing digital data and images of Thai human skulls. *Data.*

<https://doi.org/10.3390/data6110114>

Zavalina, O. L., Shakeri, S., & Kizhakkethil, P. (2015). Metadata change in traditional library collections and digital repositories: Exploratory comparative analysis. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology.*

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pra2.2015.1450520100146>

Zieliński, T. (2023). Keep it simple: Using readme files to advance standardization in chronobiology. *Clocks & Sleep.* <https://doi.org/10.3390/clockssleep5030033>